



CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE  
COST ESTIMATE

January 13, 2009

**H.R. 2**  
**Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009**

*As transmitted to CBO by the House Committee on Energy and Commerce  
on January 13, 2009*

**SUMMARY**

The legislation would authorize the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) through fiscal year 2013 and increase federal funding for the program above current levels. The bill would provide performance bonus payments to states for enrollment costs resulting from specified enrollment and retention efforts. H.R. 2 would establish a child enrollment contingency fund to cover state CHIP expenditures beyond the amount allotted in statute for the 2009-2013 reauthorization period. The bill also would add an additional state option to use CHIP funding to provide a premium assistance subsidy for children enrolled in a qualified health insurance plan, provide additional funding for outreach grants, and improve access to dental benefits and mental health parity in CHIP plans.

H.R. 2 includes other provisions related to the Medicaid program and CHIP. These provisions include ones that would allow states the authority to waive the restriction on providing Medicaid and CHIP coverage to certain legal immigrants before five years of residency, provide an alternative citizenship verification process for states when determining Medicaid eligibility, and provide grants for increased outreach and enrollment activities. Finally, the bill would increase the federal excise tax on tobacco products.

The effects on direct spending and revenues over the 2009-2013 and 2009-2018 periods are relevant for enforcing pay-as-you-go rules under the current budget resolution. CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 2 would increase direct spending by approximately \$32.3 billion over the 2009-2013 period, and by \$65.4 billion over the 2009-2018 period. In addition, the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimates that certain provisions of the bill would increase federal revenues by \$31.3 billion over the 2009-2013 period and \$64.7 billion over the 2009-2018 period. Accounting for those effects and other revenue effects stemming from provisions in H.R. 2, CBO estimates that enacting the legislation would reduce deficits by \$1.1 billion over the 2009-2013 period and by \$1.7 billion over the 2009-2018 period.

CBO has reviewed the nontax provisions of the bill (Title I through Title VI, excluding section 311(a)) and determined that they contain no intergovernmental mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA). CBO has determined that those provisions contain private-sector mandates on group health plans and issuers of group health insurance. In aggregate, the costs of the mandates on private entities in the nontax provisions of the bill would not exceed the annual threshold established by UMRA for private-sector mandates (\$139 million in 2009, adjusted annually for inflation).

## ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

CBO's estimate of the impact of H.R. 2 on direct spending and revenues is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget function 550 (health).

	By Fiscal Year, in Billions of Dollars												2009-	2009-
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2014	2019	
<b>CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING</b>														
Estimated CHIP Allotments	5.6	7.5	8.5	10.0	12.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	44.9	49.9	
Estimated Outlays	2.4	4.5	7.3	8.5	9.7	7.1	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.1	7.8	39.4	73.3	
<b>CHANGES IN REVENUES</b>														
Estimated On-budget Revenues	3.7	7.2	7.0	7.0	7.6	6.3	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.4	38.8	72.0	
Estimated Off-budget Revenues	*	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.3	1.6	
Total Changes in Revenues	3.8	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.9	6.6	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.5	40.1	73.6	
<b>NET DEFICIT IMPACT <sup>a</sup></b>														
Net On-Budget Effects	-1.3	-2.8	0.3	1.6	2.1	0.7	-0.9	-0.4	*	0.5	1.4	0.6	1.2	
Net On- and Off- Budget Effects	-1.4	-2.9	0.1	1.3	1.8	0.4	-1.0	-0.5	*	0.4	1.3	-0.7	-0.4	

a. Negative numbers denote a reduction in projected deficit; positive numbers denote an increase in projected deficits.

Notes: Components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

\* = between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

## BASIS OF ESTIMATE

H.R. 2 contains provisions that would both increase and decrease direct spending, as well as increase federal revenues. CBO estimates the net budgetary impact of the legislation

will be to reduce deficits by \$1.1 billion over the 2009-2013 period, by \$1.7 billion over the 2009-2018 period, and by \$0.4 billion over the 2009-2019 period.

## **Direct Spending**

**Provisions Affecting CHIP Benefits and Administrative Costs.** CBO estimates that H.R. 2 would increase CHIP outlays on benefits and administrative costs by about \$31.7 billion over the 2009-2014 period and by \$36.3 billion over the 2009-2019 period. The increase in CHIP outlays would be associated primarily with increased funding to maintain current program levels and allow states the option to expand their existing CHIP programs. Under CBO's current baseline, funding for CHIP allotments is assumed to continue at approximately \$5 billion each year after the program's scheduled expiration on March 31, 2009. H.R. 2 would increase CHIP allotments above that level by a total of \$43.9 billion over the 2009-2013 period. In fiscal year 2013, the bill would provide two semi-annual allotments of \$3 billion, which are lower than the allotment levels in the four previous years. The first semi-annual allotment in 2013 would be accompanied by one-time funding for the program of approximately \$11.4 billion. (The 2013 funding would total \$17.4 billion, an increase of \$12.4 billion over the current baseline projection.)

Because H.R. 2 would authorize CHIP through 2013, baseline rules established by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 call for extrapolating an annualized level of program funding at the end of authorization for the 2014-2019 period. Consequently, this estimate assumes that funding for CHIP would continue at the extrapolated annual amount of \$6 billion (\$1 billion per year more than the current baseline amount).

**Performance Bonus Payments to States.** H.R. 2 would provide funding for performance bonus payments using a two-tiered structure. Those bonus payments are designed to offset additional enrollment costs resulting from specified enrollment and retention efforts. To be eligible for those bonus payments, a state must meet at least four enrollment and retention criteria specified in the bill. The legislation would establish a benchmark level above which states can receive bonus payments for children enrolled in Medicaid. A threshold separating the two payment tiers is set at 10 percent above the benchmark level. States that enroll children who are in the first tier (above the benchmark level and below the 10 percent threshold) would receive bonus payments that are 15 percent of projected per capita state Medicaid expenditures. States that enroll children in the second tier (at or above the 10 percent threshold) would receive bonus payments totaling 62.5 percent of projected per capita state Medicaid expenditures. CBO estimates that performance bonus payments would increase direct spending by \$4.4 billion over the 2009-2019 period.

**Child Enrollment Contingency Fund.** H.R. 2 would provide additional funding, to states to maintain their current program levels over the 2009-2013 period. Such funding would be available to states whose spending exceeds their allotments in any fiscal year of the reauthorization period. CBO estimates that the contingency fund would increase direct spending by \$0.8 billion over the 2009-2013 period (with no impact after 2013).

**Medicaid Spending Due to Interactions with CHIP.** CBO expects an interaction between CHIP and the Medicaid program under H.R. 2. There are three key components to that interaction. CBO estimates that Medicaid spending would decrease as additional funding is provided to CHIP. When available CHIP funding is insufficient to maintain program coverage levels, states may continue to receive federal matching funds for some children at the lower Medicaid matching rate. Therefore, additional funding for CHIP would reduce the number of children shifted to Medicaid. Medicaid spending also would increase as adults move from CHIP to Medicaid coverage. Finally, the bill's bonus payments would lead to increased enrollment of children in Medicaid, further increasing Medicaid spending. CBO estimates that Medicaid spending associated with these interactions would increase by \$22.1 billion over the 2009-2019 period.

**Verification of Declaration of Citizenship or Nationality for Purposes of Eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP.** The bill would provide an alternative citizenship verification process for states when determining Medicaid eligibility. Instead of presenting satisfactory documentary evidence as required under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, states could submit the name and Social Security number of the individual to the Commissioner of Social Security. The Commissioner would then determine whether the name and Social Security number provided by the state is consistent with information in the records maintained by the Commissioner. If the information is not consistent, the state would make a reasonable effort to address the causes of the inconsistency. If the inconsistency cannot be resolved, the individual would be disenrolled from the program. The bill also would apply the verification process to the Children's Health Insurance Program.

Because this provision would enable more people to prove eligibility for Medicaid, or enroll in Medicaid sooner, CBO estimates that federal spending for Medicaid would increase by \$5.1 billion over the 2009-2019 period. CBO estimates no changes in direct spending for CHIP resulting from this provision. The bill also would provide an appropriation of \$5 million to the Commissioner of Social Security to carry out the Commissioner's responsibilities under the bill.

**Permitting States to Ensure Coverage without a Five-Year Delay of Certain Children and Pregnant Women under the Medicaid Program and CHIP.** The bill would allow states to waive the restriction on providing Medicaid and CHIP coverage to

legal immigrants before five years of lawful residency in the United States. The bill would apply only to pregnant women and children. CBO estimates that this provision would increase direct spending under Medicaid by \$3.9 billion over the 2009-2019 period.

**Medicaid Savings from Increasing the Tobacco Excise Tax.** CBO estimates that the increase in the tobacco excise tax would reduce the number of smokers. A decline in smoking among pregnant women would result in fewer low-birth-weight deliveries. CBO estimates that as a result, federal spending for Medicaid would decrease by approximately \$0.2 billion over the 2009-2019 period.

## **Revenues**

**Tobacco Excise Tax.** The legislation contains provisions that would raise several types of excise taxes on tobacco. Those provisions include language that would raise the federal excise tax on cigarettes from 39 cents a pack to \$1.00 a pack, and would also increase taxes on other tobacco products. JCT estimates that those provisions would increase revenues by \$31.3 billion over the 2009-2013 period, by \$64.7 billion over the 2009-2018 period, and by \$71.1 billion over the 2009-2019 period.

## **ESTIMATED IMPACT ON STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS**

CBO has reviewed the nontax provisions (Title I through Title VI, excluding section 311(a)) of the bill and determined that they contain no intergovernmental mandates as defined in UMRA.

An existing provision in the Public Health Service Act would allow state, local, and tribal governments, as employers that provide health benefits to their employees, to opt out of provisions of the bill that amend that act. Consequently, the bill's requirements on employers to comply with provisions associated with premium assistance under the Medicaid and CHIP programs would not be intergovernmental mandates as defined in UMRA. The bill would affect the budgets of those governments only if they choose to comply with the requirements imposed on group health plans.

CBO estimates that enactment of this bill would result in additional net spending by states of about \$9.7 billion over the 2009-2013 period for the SCHIP program. In general, state, local, and tribal governments would benefit from the continuation of existing SCHIP grants, the creation of new grants, and broader flexibility and options in the program.

## **ESTIMATED IMPACT ON THE PRIVATE SECTOR**

CBO has reviewed the nontax provisions of the bill and determined that they would impose mandates on the private sector as defined in UMRA. CBO estimates that the direct cost of complying with those mandates would not exceed the threshold established by UMRA for private-sector mandates (\$139 million in 2009, adjusted annually for inflation).

The bill would require group health plans and issuers of group health insurance in connection with a group health plan to permit employees to enroll in the group health plan if they lose Medicaid or CHIP eligibility or become eligible for premium assistance through Medicaid or CHIP. The bill would also require employers to inform employees of potential premium assistance opportunities, if available.

### **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Costs: Sean Dunbar, Robert Stewart, Kirstin Nelson, Ellen Werble, and Grant Driessen

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Lisa Ramirez-Branum

Impact on the Private Sector: Keisuke Nakagawa, Patrick Bernhardt, and Stuart Hagen

### **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

Peter H. Fontaine

Assistant Director for Budget Analysis



CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE  
U.S. Congress  
Washington, DC 20515

January 13, 2009

Honorable Henry A. Waxman  
Chairman  
Committee on Energy  
and Commerce  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for H.R. 2, the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contacts are Robert Stewart and Sean Dunbar, who can be reached at 226-9010.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert A. Sunshine".

Robert A. Sunshine  
Acting Director

Enclosure

cc: Honorable Joe Barton  
Ranking Minority Member

# CBO's Preliminary Estimate of the Effects on Direct Spending and Revenues of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009

Based on legislative language "CHIP\_007" as provided 1/13/2009

By fiscal year, in billions of dollars. Costs or savings of less than \$50 million are shown with an asterisk. Components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

Section	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2009-13	2009-18	2009-14	2009-19	
<b>CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING (OUTLAYS)</b>																
SCHIP outlays from provisions subject to the funding provided in sections 101, 104, and 108 of the legislation																
Benefits and administrative costs	2.4	4.3	6.0	7.0	8.5	3.4	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	28.3	35.4	31.7	36.3	
Performance bonus payments	0	0.3	1.0	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	2.4	4.0	2.7	4.4	
Subtotal	2.4	4.7	6.9	7.8	8.8	3.7	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	30.7	39.4	34.3	40.7	
Medicaid outlays due to interactions with the SCHIP outlays shown above	-0.9	-1.0	-0.7	-0.3	-0.2	2.5	3.8	4.2	4.5	5.0	5.3	-3.2	16.8	-0.7	22.1	
Other changes in direct spending that are not included with the SCHIP and Medicaid totals above																
103 Child Enrollment Contingency Fund	0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	
109 Additional administrative funding for territories	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
113 Modify Medicaid eligibility rules for certain newborns	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	
201 Outreach grants and additional administrative funding	*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.0	0.6	1.1	
203 Evaluation of Express Lane option	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	
211 Revise requirement to document citizenship	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	1.9	4.5	2.4	5.1	
214 Optional coverage of certain legal immigrants	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.3	3.4	1.7	3.9	
401 Development of quality measures for child health	*	*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	*	*	*	0	0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4	
402 Funds to improve timeliness of MSIS data	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	
503 Transition grants for payment of FQHC / RHC services	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	
602 Additional funding for Current Population Survey	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	
603 Updated federal evaluation of SCHIP	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	
613 Prohibit new Health Opportunity Account	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	
614 Modify per capita income data used to calculate FMAPs	0.3	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	
615 Funding for regional medical center	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
616 Tennessee and Hawaii DSH allotment extension	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
623 Limit Medicare exception to prohibition on certain physician referrals for hospitals	0	*	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	0	-0.3	-1.2	-0.4	-1.2	
701 Medicaid savings from tobacco taxes	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	
Subtotal	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.2	4.9	9.3	5.8	10.5	
Total changes in direct spending	2.4	4.5	7.3	8.5	9.7	7.1	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.1	7.8	32.3	65.4	39.4	73.3	
<b>CHANGES IN REVENUES</b>																
On-budget revenues																
701 Increased taxes on tobacco products	3.7	7.2	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.4	31.3	64.7	38.1	71.1	
703 Change timing of corporate estimated tax payments	0	0	0	0	0.6	-0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	
Effect of SCHIP provisions on on-budget revenues	*	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	*	*	*	*	0.6	0.9	0.8	1.0	
Subtotal	3.7	7.2	7.0	7.0	7.6	6.3	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.4	32.5	65.6	38.8	72.0	
Off-budget revenues (due to SCHIP provisions)	*	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.6	
Total changes in revenues	3.8	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.9	6.6	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.5	33.5	67.1	40.1	73.6	
Net budgetary effect of legislation																
Direct spending and on-budget revenues	-1.3	-2.8	0.3	1.6	2.1	0.7	-0.9	-0.4	*	0.5	1.4	-0.2	-0.2	0.6	1.2	
Direct spending and all revenues	-1.4	-2.9	0.1	1.3	1.8	0.4	-1.0	-0.5	*	0.4	1.3	-1.1	-1.7	-0.7	-0.4	

**Abbreviations:**

DSH = disproportionate share hospital, FMAP = federal medical assistance percentage, FQHC = federally qualified health center, MSIS = Medicaid Statistical Information System, RHC = rural health center, SCHIP = State Children's Health Insurance Program

Sources: Congressional Budget Office and the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT). JCT provided revenue estimates for sections 701 and 703.

## CBO's Preliminary Estimate of Changes in SCHIP and Medicaid Enrollment in Fiscal Year 2013 of Children Under the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009

Based on legislative language "CHIP\_007" as provided 1/13/2009

All figures are average monthly enrollment, in millions of individuals. Components may not sum to totals because of rounding.

	SCHIP /a/				Medicaid /b/				SCHIP/Medicaid total			
	Enrollees moved from Medicaid	Reduction in the uninsured	Reduction in private coverage	Total	Enrollees moved from SCHIP	Reduction in the uninsured	Reduction in private coverage	Total	Reduction in the uninsured	Reduction in private coverage	Total	
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2013:</b>												
CBO's baseline projections				3.2				28.0			31.2	
Effect of providing funding to maintain current SCHIP programs	0.9	1.8	1.2	3.9	-0.9	n.a.	n.a.	-0.9	1.8	1.2	3.0	
Effect of additional SCHIP funding and other provisions:												
Additional enrollment within existing eligibility groups /c/ /d/ /e/	*	0.5	0.4	0.8	*	1.2	0.3	1.4	1.6	0.6	2.3	
Expansion of SCHIP and Medicaid eligibility to new populations /f/	n.a.	0.5	0.5	0.9	n.a.	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.5	1.2	
Subtotal	*	1.0	0.8	1.8	*	1.4	0.3	1.7	2.3	1.2	3.5	
Total proposed changes	0.9	2.8	2.0	5.7	-0.9	1.4	0.3	0.8	4.1	2.4	6.5	
Estimated enrollment under proposal				8.9				28.8			37.7	

**Notes:**

- /a/ The figures in this table include the program's adult enrollees, who account for less than 10 percent of total SCHIP enrollment.
- /b/ The figures in this table do not include children who receive Medicaid because they are disabled.
- /c/ The Medicaid figures in this line include the additional children enrolled as a result of expansions of SCHIP eligibility.
- /d/ Section 112 of the act would shift coverage of childless adults in SCHIP to Medicaid and allow some states that are currently planning to shift parents from SCHIP to Medicaid to continue covering them in SCHIP.
- /e/ The Medicaid figures and SCHIP/Medicaid totals in this line include about 200,000 individuals who would gain eligibility under section 211 of the act.
- /f/ The figures on this line also include the enrollment effect of section 214 of the act.

n.a. = not applicable

\* = fewer than 50,000 enrollees.